

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,706		03/17/2004	Wun-Fang Pan	MR1197-613	1850
4586	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER	
		EIN & LEE	DZIERZYNSKI, EVAN P		
	LICOTT CENTER DRIVE-SUITE 101 FT CITY, MD 21043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	 , -	,		2875	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/801,706	PAN, WUN-FANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evan Dzierzynski	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statur - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- lication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 May 2006</u> .					
, —)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 March 2004</u>	•					
Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/24/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng (US Pat 6799867).

Cheng discloses a first holder 2' having on a periphery, a pair of grooves 21', and a first holder that receives a first bulb. Cheng also discloses a first socket 1', the first socket having a first a pair of projections 11' receiving the first holder therein (col 2, ln 1-3), the projections extend inward from an inner surface of the first socket and are diametrically opposed to each other (11', fig 3).

Cheng discloses a second holder 2 being different in groove arrangement from the first holder (col 2, lines 7-15, compare the arrangement of 11 and 11' in figures 1

and 3). The second holder receives a normal bulb, and a second socket 1, the second socket having projections 11 corresponding to the groove arrangement 21 of the second holder and receiving the second holder therein, the projections extending inward from an inner surface of the second holder and are diametrically opposed to each other (11, fig 1), whereby the first holder 2' receiving the first bulb is identifiable from the second holder 2 receiving the normal bulb (compare arrangement of 11 and 11' in Figs 1 and 3).

Page 3

Cheng fails to specify that the bulb assembly is for differentiating between a normal and controlling bulb. Cheng teaches identifying between several different light strings that have a different structure than the bulb assemblies of a nearby string (col 1, line 5+). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to take this teaching and apply it to the bulbs, since the device of Cheng teaches two different bulb assemblies and is a twinkling light string.

Cheng fails to teach the device with a second pair of grooves and a second pair of projections on the first holder and socket, respectively. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an additional pair of grooves and projections since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evan Dzierzynski whose telephone number is (571)-

Application/Control Number: 10/801,706

Art Unit: 2875

272-2336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00 am -

3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 4

supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on M-F (571)-272-2009. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Evan Dzierzynski

6/21/2006

RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER